# Numerical analysis of Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub> thin film solar cells using novel buffer layers

JIREN YUAN<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, LANG ZHOU<sup>a,\*</sup>, XINHUA DENG<sup>b,c</sup>, QIMING YU<sup>b</sup>, QINGFENG WU<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China <sup>b</sup>School of Science, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China <sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Millimeter Waves, Nanjing 210096, China

In order to develop completely nontoxic Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub> (CZTS) solar cells, a-Si and µc-3C-SiC, which possess passivation property, were considered as alternative buffer layer materials to replace the currently used toxic CdS. The effects of the buffer layer choices on the cell performance were investigated by numerical simulations. The quantum efficiency was calculated to understand its dependence on buffer thicknesses. It is found that the thickness of a-Si buffer has significant impact on cell property. The a-Si buffer layer should not be too thick for higher conversion efficiency. Using µc-3C-SiC as the buffer layer can significantly improve the blue light response of the CZTS solar cell. Our contribution may open up a new route to Cd-free cells by using amorphous or microcrystalline semiconductors to replace the toxic CdS buffer layer.

(Received August 26, 2012; accepted April 11, 2013)

Keywords: Solar cell, Cu2ZnSnS4, µc-3C-SiC, a-Si, Buffer layer

## 1. Introduction

Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub> (CZTS) thin films are an attractive solar cell absorber material due to its excellent material properties such as a suitable bandgap of about 1.5 eV and large optical absorption coefficient ( $\alpha > 10^4$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) [1-4]. Moreover, CZTS is considered to be an environmentally friendly semiconductor since its constituent elements are nontoxic and in great abundance on earth [5,6]. Thus, CZTS is a very promising absorber material for low-cost and sustainable thin film solar cells. However, the typical CZTS thin film solar cells are equipped with a thin CdS buffer layer. It is well known that the cadmium element is toxic so that it can harm to humans and the environment. Therefore, in order to fabricate a completely nontoxic solar cell, alternative buffer materials should be used to replace the toxic CdS buffer layer.

Up to now, some Cd-free materials, such as ZnS [7], ZnSe [8,9],  $In_2S_3$  [10,11], etc [12,13], have been used as the buffer layers for these chalcopyrite solar cells. But, these alternative buffer materials can bring about high interface states which would deteriorate the cell performance. So, Shen et al. [14] introduced the nontoxic amorphous silicon (a-Si) film as the buffer of CZTS solar cells. Employing a-Si as buffer layer can improve the bandgap mismatch of CZTS and window layer, and passivate the surface of CZTS film [14]. While a-Si buffer may result in optical loss in short-wavelength region because of its relatively narrow bandgap (about 1.8 eV), large optical absorption coefficient and very low mobility. For these disadvantages, the microcrystalline cubic silicon carbide (µc-3C-SiC) thin film may be a more suitable buffer material since it has low absorption coefficient and relatively wide bandgap (2.2 eV) while retaining the passivation property [15].

In order to understand the performance of CZTS thin film solar cells with two novel buffer layers, a simulation study on CZTS solar cells with a-Si and µc-3C-SiC buffers was carried out. The influence of different buffer layers on the cell performance was compared. The cause of changing the cell performance for different buffer layers was analyzed.

### 2. Methodologies

Fig. 1 shows the schematic structure of the CZTS cell in this work. The cell contains a glass substrate, Mo back contact, p-type CZTS absorber, n-type buffer, n-type ZnO window, Anti-Reflection Coating (ARC) and grid contact. The SCAPS simulator [16-18] is used in this study. The simulation method of the SCAPS program is based on the Poisson equation, the electron and hole continuity equations, and the electron and hole current density equations shown as below respectively [19-20]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \varepsilon \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \right) = -\rho \tag{1}$$

where  $\Psi$  is the electrostatic potential,  $\varepsilon$  is the dielectric permittivity of semiconductor,  $\rho$  is the space charge density.

$$\frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{\partial J_n}{\partial x} \right) = -G + R \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{\partial J_p}{\partial x} \right) = G - R \tag{3}$$

where  $J_n$  and  $J_p$  are the electron and hole current density, respectively, *G* is the generation rate, and *R* is the recombination rate.

$$J_n = qn\mu_n \left(\frac{\partial E_{Fn}}{\partial x}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$J_{p} = qp\mu_{p} \left(\frac{\partial E_{Fp}}{\partial x}\right)$$
(5)

where *n* and *p* are the concentrations of free electrons and holes,  $\mu_n$  and  $\mu_p$  are the electron and hole mobilities,  $E_{Fn}$ and  $E_{Fp}$  are the quasi-Fermi levels for electrons and holes, and *q* is the electron charge.

Then, the solutions to Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) should satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$J_n = -qS_n\left(n - n_{eq}\right) \tag{6}$$

$$J_{p} = -qS_{p}\left(p - p_{eq}\right) \tag{7}$$

where  $S_n$  and  $S_p$  are the surface recombination velocities of holes and electrons, and  $n_{eq}$  and  $p_{eq}$  are the equilibrium concentrations of holes and electrons at the boundaries, respectively.



Fig. 1. Schematic structure of the CZTS solar cell in this study.

The basic parameters used in the simulation are shown in Table 1 [21-24]. The simulated illumination is AM 1.5G, 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. The default operation temperature is set to 300 K. The optical absorption coefficients of CZTS and  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC are taken from Ref. [14,25] and [22], respectively, and those of other materials are obtained from SCAPS program file. In order to study the effect of surface passivation of buffer layers on the cell performance, the interface between the CZTS layer and the buffer layer is added. The interface states vary from  $5 \times 10^9$  cm<sup>-2</sup> to  $10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. Fig. 2 illustrates the calculated equilibrium energy band diagram of the CZTS solar cell when the buffer layers are CdS, a-Si and  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC.



Fig. 2. Equilibrium energy band diagram of the CZTS solar cell when the buffer layers are CdS, a-Si and  $\mu c$ -3C-SiC.

Parameters and units	ZnO	µc-3C-SiC	a-Si	CdS	CZTS
Thickness (nm)	200	Varied	Varied	Varied	3000
Relative dielectric constant	9	9.72	11.9	10	13.6
Electron mobility (cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	100	20	20	100	100
Hole mobility $(cm^2V^{-1}s^{-1})$	25	2	2	25	25
Donor concentration (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$1 \times 10^{18}$	1×10 <sup>18</sup>	3×10 <sup>18</sup>	$1 \times 10^{17}$	_
Acceptor concentration (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	_	_	_	_	2×10 <sup>16</sup>
Band gap (eV)	3.3	2.2	1.82	2.4	1.5
Effective conduction band density (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$2.2 \times 10^{18}$	1.5×10 <sup>19</sup>	$2.5 \times 10^{20}$	$2.2 \times 10^{18}$	$2.2 \times 10^{18}$
Effective valence band density (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.8×10 <sup>19</sup>	1.2×10 <sup>19</sup>	$2.5 \times 10^{20}$	1.8×10 <sup>19</sup>	1.8×10 <sup>19</sup>
Electron affinity (eV)	4.45	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.1
Peak density of Gaussian defects (cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$10^{17}$	$10^{18}, 10^{18}$	8×10 <sup>18</sup> , 8×10 <sup>18</sup>	1018	$10^{14}$
		(double	(double Gau.)		
		Gau.)			
Peak energy for Gaussian defects (eV)	1.65	0.7, 0.14	0.6, 1.2	1.2	0.75
Standard deviation for Gaussian defects (eV)	0.1	0.25, 0.25	0.15, 0.15	0.1	0.1

Table 1. Basic parameters for the simulation of CZTS solar cells.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 3a shows the short-circuit current density  $J_{sc}$  as a function of the buffer thickness with different buffer materials. It is shown that  $J_{sc}$  decreases obviously from 25.48 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 17.65 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> when the buffer thicknesses vary from 10 nm to 100 nm if a-Si acts as buffer role. For the cell with CdS buffer,  $J_{sc}$  is reduced from 25.95 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> to 22.07 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> when the buffer thicknesses increase from 10 nm to 100 nm. While  $J_{sc}$ changes slightly if µc-3C-SiC is used as the buffer layer. This should be come from the fact that the optical absorption coefficient of µc-3C-SiC is rather low. If a-Si is used as the buffer layer of CZTS, the buffer layer should be not too thick. Fig. 3b depicts the influence of the buffer thickness on cell open-circuit voltage  $V_{0c}$  with CdS, a-Si and µc-3C-SiC buffer layers, respectively. It is found that the change of  $V_{oc}$  of the cell with  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC buffer layer is minimum. For the cell with a-Si buffer layer,  $V_{\rm oc}$  decreases from 1.008 V to 0.982 V when the buffer thickness increases from 10 nm to 100 nm. It should be mentioned that  $V_{\rm oc}$  increases or decreases logarithmically with the increasing or decreasing  $J_{sc}$ according to the formula [26]

$$V_{\rm oc} = \frac{kT}{q} \left[ \ln \left( J_{\rm sc} / J_0 \right) + 1 \right] \tag{8}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the absolute temperature, q is the electron charge, and  $J_0$  is the dark saturation current density. But here note that Eq. (8) is applicable for ideal solar cells, without taking into account the series or shunt resistance. For a real solar cell, the series resistance should be increased with the increase of the buffer thickness. This would cause a decrease in  $J_{sc}$ . In Fig. 3c, we plot the cell efficiency as a function of the buffer thickness with different buffer layers. It can be seen that the efficiency of the cell with µc-3C-SiC buffer has little change when the buffer thickness increases from 10 nm to 100 nm. But, that of the cell with a-Si buffer decreases rapidly from 21.45% to 14.79% when the buffer thickness increases from 10 nm to 100 nm. The big reduction of efficiency is due to the fact that the optical absorption coefficient of a-Si is very large and the mobility of it is very low. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the sunlight is incident from the left side, pass the wide-bandgap ZnO window and buffer layer, and then reach the CZTS absorber. The solar photons absorbed by a-Si buffer cannot attribute to the light current.



Fig. 3. Influence of the buffer thickness on short-circuit current density  $J_{sc}$ , open-circuit voltage  $V_{oc}$  and conversion efficiency with CdS, a-Si and  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC buffer layers, respectively.

Fig. 4 shows the current-voltage curves for different thicknesses when the buffer layers are CdS, a-Si and  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC, respectively. It is found that the current-voltage curves almost overlap when the  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC buffer increases from 10 nm to 100 nm.  $J_{\rm sc}$  has significant deviation and  $V_{\rm oc}$  change slightly when the thickness of CdS and a-Si buffers increases from 10

nm to 100 nm. In order to further investigate above-mentioned phenomenon, we calculate the quantum efficiency (*QE*) of the CZTS solar cells with  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC, a-Si and CdS buffers as shown in Fig. 5. It is found that the *QE* in the short-wavelength region gets worse when the buffer of CdS or a-Si increases. And little absorption loss is found in short-wavelength region for the cell with  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC buffer. These results suggest that the blue light response of the CZTS solar cell is significantly improved by using  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC buffer instead of a-Si or CdS buffer.



Fig. 4. Current-voltage curves for different thicknesses when the buffer layers are CdS, a-Si and µc-3C-SiC, respectively.



Fig. 5. QE curves of the CZTS solar cell with different buffer thicknesses using CdS, a-Si and µc-3C-SiC buffers, respectively.

Fig. 6 illustrates the current-voltage curves of the CZTS solar cell with different densities of interface states when the buffer layer is a-Si. It is found that interface states have important impacts on cell performance. Obviously, interface states can make photocarriers recombined and result in the decrease in  $J_{sc}$ . Besides, high interface states can bring about a big dark saturation current density, which would deteriorate the open-circuit voltage. The addition of interface states is to study the effect of surface passivation on cell performance. It is

well known that a good passivation would reduce the interface states. The better the surface passivation is, the lower the density of interface states is. And amorphous or microcrystalline materials possess passivation property [27,28]. This is a unique advantage that uses as the buffer layer for the CZTS solar cell.



Fig. 6. Current-voltage curves for different densities of interface states when the buffer layer is a-Si.

# 4. Conclusions

We have studied the CZTS solar cells with a-Si or  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC novel buffer layer. We found that using a-Si as buffer can result in large optical absorption loss in the short-wavelength region. The a-Si buffer layer should not be too thick for higher conversion efficiency.  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC buffer can significantly improve the blue light response of the CZTS solar cell. Considering that  $\mu$ c-3C-SiC and a-Si have passivation property and are CdS-free, they may be two promising materials for the buffer of CZTS solar cells.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the free use of the SCAPS program developed by Dr. M. Burgelman's group at the ELIS of the University of Gent, Belgium. This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province of China (Grant No. 20122BAB202002), the Science and Technology Project of Education Department of Jiangxi Province (Grant No. GJJ12007), the Open Fund of the State Key Laboratory of Millimeter Waves (Grant No. K201216), the Open Fund of the State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies (Sun Yat-sen University) (Grant No. KF2010-MS-05).

# References

- [1] K. Ito, T. Nakazawa, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, 2094 (1988).
- [2] B. Shin, O. Gunawan, Y. Zhu, N. A. Bojarczuk, S. J.

Chey, S. Guha, Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. **21**, 72 (2013).

- [3] P. K. Sarswat, M. Snure, M. L. Free, A. Tiwari, Thin Solid Films **520**, 1694 (2012).
- [4] M. I. Hossain, Chalcogenide Lett. 9, 231 (2012).
- [5] S. Ahmed, K. B. Reuter, O. Gunawan, L. Guo, Adv. Energy Mater. 2, 253 (2012).
- [6] D. A. R. Barkhouse, O. Gunawan, T. Gokmen, T. K. Todorov, D. B. Mitzi, Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. 20, 6 (2012).
- [7] T. Nakada, M. Mizutani, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 41, L165 (2002).
- [8] F. Engelhardt, L. Bornemann, M. Kontges, Th. Meyer, J. Parisi, E. Pschorr-Schoberer, B. Hahn, W. Gebhardt, W. Riedl, U. Rau, Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. 7, 423 (1999).
- [9] M. N. Nnabuchi, R. A. Chikwenze, Chalcogenide Lett. 9, 337 (2012).
- [10] N. Naghavi, S. Spiering, M, Powalla, B. Canava, A. Taisne, J.-F. Guillemoles, S. Taunier, A. Etcheberry, D. Lincot, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 763, 465 (2003).
- [11] M. I. Hossain, Chalcogenide Lett. 9, 185 (2012).
- [12] S. Siebentritt, Sol. Energy 77, 767 (2004).
- [13] D. Hariskos, S. Spiering, M. Powalla, Thin Solid Films 480/481, 99 (2005).
- [14] F. Jiang, H. L. Shen, W. Wang, L. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Express 4, 074101 (2011).
- [15] J. R. Yuan, X. H. Deng, Chin. J. Electron Dev. 35, 631 (2012).
- [16] M. Burgelman, P. Nollet, S. Degrave, Thin Solid Films 361/362, 527 (2000).

- [17] K. Decock, P. Zabierowski, M. Burgelman, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 043703 (2012).
- [18] K. Decock, S. Khelifi, M. Burgelman, Thin Solid Films, **519**, 7481 (2011).
- [19] A SCAPS manual, A. Niemegeers, M. Burgelman, S. Degrave, J. Verschraegen, K. Decock, 2012.
- [20] B. E. Pieters, K. Decock, M. Burgelman, R. Stangl, T. Kirchartz, One-Dimensional Electro-Optical Simulations of Thin-Film Solar Cells, In: D. Abou-Ras, T. Kirchartz, U. Rau (Eds.), Advanced Characterization Techniques for Thin Film Solar Cells, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2011, pp. 501-527.
- [21] C. Banerjee, K. L. Narayanan, K. Haga, J. Sritharathikhun, S. Miyajima, A. Yamada, M. Konagai, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 46, 1 (2007).
- [22] J. Yuan, H. Shen, L. Lu, T. Wu, X. He, Optoelectron. Adv. Mater.-Rapid Commun. 4, 1211 (2010).
- [23] M. Gloeckler, A. L. Fahrenbruch, J.R. Sites, in: Proc. 3rd World Conf. Photovolt. Energy Conv., Osaka, Japan, 2003, pp. 491-494.
- [24] L. Wang, F. Chen, J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater. 13, 81 (2011).
- [25] C. Gao, H. Shen, F. Jiang, H. Guan, Appl. Surf. Sci. 261, 189 (2012).
- [26] M. A. Green, Solar Cells: Operating Principles, Technology, and System Applications, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1982.
- [27] C. Voz, I. Martin, A. Orpella, J. Puigdollers, M. Vetter, R. Alcubilla, D. Soler, M. Fonrodona, J. Bertomeu, J. Andreu, Thin Solid Films 430, 270 (2003).
- [28] S. Dauwe, J. Schmidt, R. Hezel, 29th IEEE PVSC, 2002, pp. 1246-1249.

\*Corresponding authors: yuanjiren@126.com; lzhou@ncu.edu.cn